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Assessing Harold Bernan’s Contributions

The Western Legal Tradition

The Character of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(a) The Western Legal Tradition</th>
<th>(b) The Japanese Legal Tradition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definition</strong></td>
<td><strong>Definition</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Western Legal Tradition is a legal system that developed in Europe and is characterized by its emphasis on the written law, the role of judges, and the adversarial process.</td>
<td>The Japanese Legal Tradition is a legal system that developed in Japan and is characterized by its emphasis on the unwritten law, the role of officials, and the inquisitorial process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Features</strong></td>
<td><strong>Key Features</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Origins in Roman Law</td>
<td>- Origines in Japanese Shinto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Common law and equity</td>
<td>- Shinto and Confucianism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Written statutes</td>
<td>- Case law and precedent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Judges as decision-makers</td>
<td>- Officials as decision-makers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Adversarial process</td>
<td>- Inquisitorial process</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The table above provides a comparison between the Western Legal Tradition and the Japanese Legal Tradition.*
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Only a hybrid does a question really arise to be a real possibility.


The Importance of the Legal Tradition. 1993-09-30.
There is a clear distinction between the roles of a lawyer and a legal scholar. Lawyers are primarily concerned with the application of existing laws to specific cases, whereas legal scholars focus on the development and interpretation of law. This separation is crucial in maintaining the integrity of the legal system, ensuring that legal principles are applied fairly and consistently.

Legal scholars have a unique role in society, as they are the ones who are responsible for advancing the understanding of law. They do this by studying the history and philosophy of law, as well as by engaging in research to identify new legal issues and to develop innovative solutions to existing ones. Legal scholars also play a key role in education, as they are responsible for teaching future lawyers about the law.

There are, however, some challenges that legal scholars face. One of the most significant challenges is the need to balance the need for innovation with the need for stability. Legal scholars must be able to introduce new ideas and concepts without undermining the existing legal system. They must also be able to communicate their ideas effectively, both to other legal scholars and to the general public.

In conclusion, there is a clear and important distinction between the roles of a lawyer and a legal scholar. Lawyers are responsible for applying the law to specific cases, while legal scholars are responsible for advancing the understanding of law and for teaching future lawyers about it. Legal scholars must balance the need for innovation with the need for stability and must be able to communicate their ideas effectively. This is a challenging but important role, and one that is crucial to the functioning of the legal system.
Reactions to Law and Revolution

The four broad categories of reaction to the Westphalian peace of 1648 describe a spectrum of responses to the end of the Thirty Years' War and the establishment of the modern sovereign state. These reactions include:

1. **Laissez-faire Advocates:** These advocates believed in minimal government intervention and advocated for a return to pre-war conditions. They argued for the protection of property rights and the free market, emphasizing the benefits of economic growth and individual liberty.

2. **Institutionalists:** These individuals focused on the establishment of stable and effective institutions. They supported the creation of a strong central government to regulate commerce, protect citizens, and promote social order. They believed that a well-organized state was essential for economic development and social progress.

3. **Legalists:** Legalists emphasized the role of law and the rule of law. They argued for the establishment of a legal system that protected the rights of all citizens and ensured fair treatment by the state. This included the creation of a legal code and the establishment of courts to enforce it.

4. **Radical Reformers:** These reformers sought to fundamentally transform society and create a more just and equitable world. They advocated for the abolition of feudalism, the redistribution of land, and the establishment of a democratic government. They believed that the existing social and economic structures were fundamentally flawed and needed to be replaced.

These reactions to the Westphalian peace of 1648 reflect the diversity of responses to the challenges of the modern age. The spread of knowledge, the rise of commerce, and the desire for social stability all contributed to these varied perspectives. Each reaction had its own strengths and weaknesses, and they continue to shape the development of modern societies.
The Character of the Western Legal Tradition

1. MacKinnon, "The Early Development of the Western Legal

2. The Exception of the Law (1969) 1395. The Paris School of Law in

3. The Early Development of the Western Legal

4. "H". Legal

5. "F". Legal

6. "E". Legal

7. "D". Legal

8. "C". Legal

9. "B". Legal

10. "A". Legal
Introduction

Peter B. Madsen

International Trade and Commerce

3